Section 1: Introduction

These guidelines and procedures for translations/adaptations of the LUI are intended to avoid translations/adaptations that are not faithful to the original LUI or that don’t preserve its empirical characteristics with respect to reliability and validity. They are in part similar to those imposed by other language measures (e.g., MacArthur-Bates Communicative Development Inventories (Fenson et al., 1993); see http://www.sci.sdsu.edu/cdi/adaptations.htm) implemented for the same reasons. These guidelines are intended to ensure that adaptations of the LUI, as desired by other language measures such as MacArthur-Bates Communicative Development Inventories, “adhere to shared standards and procedures that make them genuinely comparable to the original and that there will be coherence and consistency across versions” (2003/2008, CDI Advisory Board, http://www.sci.sdsu.edu/cdi/guidelines_adaptations.htm, retrieved June 20, 2011).

Section 2: Who Can Apply

Investigators contemplating the development of an adaptation of the LUI into another language should be aware that this is a lengthy and time-consuming process. The typical process of the adaptation of existing tests usually involves multiple studies and iterations in order to produce a translation that meets acceptable standards for translation, levels of internal reliability and evidence of validity (e.g., discriminative validity). It is only once such studies have been carried out, that a norming or standardization study is possible. In addition to being a lengthy process (e.g., all translations to date have taken their respective researchers several years to get to the point of having a draft adaptation of the questionnaire), this process usually requires substantial funding, expertise in both child language development and test development, advanced knowledge of statistics related to test development, and a fairly large research team. Some further references helpful to understanding the process of the adaption of language tests into other languages are provided at the end of this document.

For such reasons, Level 1 Licenses (see below) will be issued only to a Principal Investigator who is a full-time faculty member at a university level (or equivalent) research institution. A student collaborator at the MA or PhD level can be designated as a Co-Principal Investigator. If this is the case, then both the faculty PI and student co-PI will be issued individual Level 1 Licenses.

Section 3: Level 1 Licenses to Translate the LUI for the Purpose of a Single Research Study

With respect to the LUI, the first step in the process is to contact Dr. Daniela O’Neill (doneill@uwaterloo.ca) to receive information about obtaining a non-exclusive Level 1 license from the LUI’s publisher, Knowledge in Development Inc., to translate the LUI for the purpose of a single research study. This license will allow you to begin the process of developing a draft adaptation of the LUI into another language for the purposes of a single research study, such as
a dissertation or use in a preliminary pilot study with a small group of parents in a clinical setting, without plans for full norming and validation.

In cases where a researcher’s intention is to simply produce a translation of the LUI (or part of it) for use in a single study, no further license(s) may be desired. A Level 1 license does not preclude other individual(s) or research group(s) from proposing or pursuing a full adaptation.

During the process of carrying out such translations, Daniela O’Neill can be consulted at any point for advice, guidance or review of any aspect of the study should this be deemed helpful. Some research teams have also visited the University of Waterloo to consult with Dr. O’Neill in more depth.

In addition, to aid new and current researchers undertaking translations from benefitting from the previous experiences of other teams, or to compare results, all ongoing translations and contact information for the researchers will be posted on both the websites of Daniela O’Neill’s university lab website (https://uwaterloo.ca/centre-for-child-studies/language-use-inventory) and the LUI’s commercial site (https://languageuseinventory.com/Research/Translations).

Section 4: What is Required to Receive a Level 1 License

There is no fee to obtain a Level 1 License. In order for a Level 1 License to be prepared, the investigator(s) must submit the following 4 pieces of information:

1. Name(s) and contact information for Principal Investigator, Co-Principal Investigator(s), and, if relevant, Co-investigators (e.g., the latter two categories may be relevant to student investigators).
2. Title of the Project
3. A brief description of the research study, not to exceed 300 words.
4. The exact language of translation. Please specify dialect of main language if relevant.

If a research study involves multiple researchers and/or multiple institutions, it is recommended that a license be issued for all Co-PI members and at least one member from each institution. It is the responsibility of the investigator(s) to determine for whom to request a license.

This information can be sent directly to Daniela O’Neill doneill@uwaterloo.ca or doneill@LanguageUseInventory.com to expedite the preparation of the legal documents. Any questions can be directed to Daniela O’Neill at either of these email addresses.

Depending on the time of year, review of the application, further correspondence if necessary, and preparation of legal documents can take 4 to 8 weeks, but the aim is to do so as quickly as possible.

Section 5. Important Information about Level 1 Licenses

Prior to the full completion and standardization of a translation and authorized permission from the publisher, the use of the term “Language Use Inventory (LUI) or its equivalents for the translation is not permitted. As noted in detail in the License documents, a translation/adaptation of the LUI at this stage must be referred to as a “non-standardized research adaptation” of the Language Use Inventory. It is only once standardized, and with
written approval of Dr. Daniela O’Neill and the publisher Knowledge in Development Inc. (see details below), that the **authorized use of the term "Language Use Inventory" or LUI or equivalents** for the resulting translation/adaptation of the LUI can be used.

**It should be understood that during the entire process, the license agreements do not allow for the distribution of the LUI or adapted/translated LUI for commercial purposes or for research, even if distributed free of charge.**

Licensees are also not permitted to reproduce any portion of the actual items of the LUI or translations of the LUI in unpublished or published reports. (See O’Neill, 2007, for guidance on presentation of the content that would be considered allowable under the license agreement.)

**Section 6. Level 1 Licenses, Standardization and Gaining Authorized Use of the Term “Language Use Inventory” or LUI or Equivalents for Translated Versions.**

If a researcher(s)’s ultimate goal is to produce an authorized, standardized version, then further successive Level 1 license(s) would be applied for further studies that would likely be related to supporting aspects of its reliability and validity, before ultimately applying for a Level 1 license for a standardization (norming) study. If producing an eventual **standardized and authorized official translation of the LUI** is the goal of the researcher(s), it is highly recommended that all further sections of this document pertaining to this goal are reviewed so that studies up to and including standardization will produce the required prerequisite information that will be requested at the time of applying for authorized version status.

When the ultimate goal is to proceed to standardization of the adapted version of the LUI in another language and, following this, obtain approval in writing from Dr. Daniela O’Neill and Knowledge in Development, Inc. for **authorized use of the term "Language Use Inventory" or LUI or equivalents** for the resulting translation of the LUI, researchers should be aware of what will be required for this eventual approval. These requirements are intended to assure that the quality and empirical integrity of what become authorized versions of the LUI for other languages and countries is similar to that of the original English version of the LUI. It is highly recommended that researchers intending to ultimately pursue this goal apprise themselves of these requirements in the early stages of the research.

In particular, the researcher(s) should plan to:

- Translate/adapt the LUI in full with as exact item-to-item equivalents as possible and appropriate in order to retain the original content of the work as closely as possible including all instructions, subscales and subscale items. That is, all three main parts, 12 subscales, extra questions and instructions should be contained in the adaptation. No subscales or items beyond the adaptations necessary of the original items should be newly added or deleted without further written authorization from the publisher.
- Translate/adapt the LUI Score Sheet as similarly as possible to the original version
- Preserve the intended age range for the use of the LUI. Researchers should provide norms within the 18 to 47 month age range. A minimum of 100 children (50 girls/50 boys) at each age month included, comparable to the original version, is highly recommended. Inclusion/exclusionary criteria should be as similar as possible to those of the norming of the original LUI.
Carefully document and retain a record of any and all changes to items, instructions, and/or any other modifications relating to the original LUI and the reasons for these changes (e.g., results of pilot studies, focus groups, statistical item-analyses, etc.). This information will be requested by Knowledge in Development Inc. at the time that permission from the Publisher is sought for the authorized use of the term "Language Use Inventory" or LUI or equivalents for the resulting translation. (Such information is also very likely to be necessary to include in journal publications detailing the development of the translation/adaptation and so will serve this purpose as well.)

- Summary document(s) detailing the empirical process and results of the adaptation of the LUI into the particular language in question and supporting its reliability and validity (e.g., this might include in press/published journal articles)
- Provide norms in percentile format as provided for the original LUI in the LUI User Manual (that is, for example, not other formats such as threshold norms, or cut-off scores).

When the adaptation and standardization is complete, to obtain approval in writing from Dr. Daniela O’Neill and Knowledge in Development, Inc. for authorized use of the term "Language Use Inventory" or LUI or equivalents for the resulting translation of the LUI, the following documents will be requested by the publisher (Knowledge in Development, Inc.):

1) a copy of the final translated version of the LUI questionnaire
2) a copy of the final translated version of the LUI Score Sheet
3) a summary of all changes to items, instructions, and/or any other modifications relating to the original LUI and the reasons for these changes (e.g., results of pilot studies, focus groups, statistical item-analyses, etc.)
4) documentation supporting the quality of the standardization (e.g., published or in press journal article(s) detailing reliability and validity)
5) a copy of the final norms

Only with this final approval, that will be provided in writing from Dr. Daniela O’Neill and Knowledge in Development, Inc., is authorized use of the term "Language Use Inventory" or LUI or equivalents permitted.

At this point, authors interested in pursuing commercialization should contact the Publisher. First right of publication (online or in hardcopy) of translations/adaptations of the Language Use Inventory rests with Knowledge in Development, Inc.

It should be understood that during the entire process, the license agreements do not allow for the distribution of the LUI or adapted/translated LUI for commercial purposes or for research, even if distributed free of charge.

Licensees are also not permitted to reproduce any portion of the actual items of the LUI or translations of the LUI in unpublished or published reports. (See O’Neill, 2007, for guidance on presentation of the content that would be considered allowable under the license agreement.)


1. Openly available full texts from the LUI User Manual (O’Neill, 2009) of Chapter 5 (Development of the LUI and Psychometrics) and Chapter 6 (Standardization and Norms
Development) which provide a detailed history of the development and standardization of the LUI are available at https://languageuseinventory.com/Research/Psychometrics. The complete LUI Manual is available for purchase from the publisher at https://languageuseinventory.com/. The manual should be consulted as a guide to the reliability, validation and norming studies carried out in its development. Dr. O’Neill can also serve as source of information regarding the conduction of such studies and is happy to consult with investigators if they would find this useful.

2. Researchers can also request from Daniela O’Neill a copy of the procedures she and Dr. Diane Pesco are using to develop an adaptation/translation of the LUI for French-Canadian speaking children. (In a email, request the excerpt from our CIHR Grant Research Project re. the Development of a French-Canadian Language Use Inventory.)

3. The website for the MacArthur-Bates Communicative Development Inventories has a lot of relevant information including guidelines and suggestions for adaptations motivated by both clinical and research needs. Visit: http://www.sci.sdsu.edu/cdi/adaptations.htm Here investigators can also find the contact information for investigators carrying out authorized translations of the MacArthur-Bates CDI.

4. The website of the International Test Commission (ITC) http://www.intestcom.org/Guidelines/Adapting+Tests.php has guidelines and documents for downloading that include a detailed set of guidelines for adapting psychological and education tests for use in various different linguistic and cultural contexts. The work of Ron Hambleton and colleagues may be of specific interest to investigators for its detailed treatment of methodological and statistical issues. For example, researchers may find of particular relevance discussions of forward-translation designs, now regarded as superior and preferable to back-translation designs (Geisinger, 1994; Hambleton & Li, 2005).


Also possibly of interest:


5. Investigators may also want to apprise themselves of newer statistical methods such as “differential item functioning” (DIF; Holland & Wainer, 1993) to permit item-by-item comparison between versions. Although DIF procedures cannot pinpoint the reason for an item’s differential functioning, causes of DIF (e.g., differences in difficulty of words or sentences, content, cultural relevance; Allalouf, Hambleton, & Sireci, 1999) can be evaluated and procedures for eliminating DIF can be systematically implemented.


6. Excellent published studies of adaptations of existing tests can be located that will prove useful in the research design process and at other stages of the research, such as when examining concurrent validity, predictive validity, discriminative validity or a standardization study. Some recommended publications are (listed in alphabetical order by first author – apologies to any researchers omitted - the list is not exhaustive) that pertain to parent-report measures about children’s language development in particular, such as the MacArthur-Bates Communicative Development Inventories and Dorothy Bishop’s Children’s Communication Checklist.


7. Other potential references of interest related to the adaptation of language measures into other languages:


Website for the PEDStest developed by Dr. Frances Glascoe has information in the FAQ section relevant to considerations for translation. See section: PEDS Research Questions and Ideas: Question: I want to translate, standardize or validate screening tools in my country/language group. What do you recommend? http://www.pedstest.com/FAQ/FAQforPEDSandPEDSDM.aspx

April (2010) Issue of Child Development Perspectives has a special section on measurement equivalence in child development research with 4 articles including:


8. **ELL children**: The development of adaptations for use in other languages in other countries is different from the adaptation of existing tests to permit the assessment of children growing up bi/multilingual and adapting the LUI for use in such contexts. Investigators should consult research specifically related to the adaptation of test measures and recommended assessment procedures for use with linguistically and culturally diverse children. Researchers can also contact Dr. O’Neill to find out more about ongoing work her lab is doing to address the use of the LUI among ELL children in Canada.

**Terms of Use**: The information contained in these guidelines for the adaptation of the Language Use Inventory (“LUI”) into other languages are provided for informational and educational purposes only. Knowledge in Development, Inc. may, without notice to you, at any time revise these Terms of Use and any information contained in these guidelines by updating this posting. Information in these guidelines is not promised or guaranteed to be correct, current, or complete. Accordingly, you should confirm the accuracy and completeness of all posted information before making any decision related to any matter described in these guidelines.